

DARCY'S LAW FOR A COMPRESSIBLE THERMOFLUID

ANVARBEK MEIRMANOV

Abstract. A linear system of differential equations describing a joint motion of a thermoelastic porous body with a sufficiently large Lamé's constants (absolutely rigid body) and a thermofluid, occupying porous space, is considered. The rigorous justification, under various conditions imposed on physical parameters, is fulfilled for homogenization procedures as the dimensionless size of the pores tends to zero, while the porous body is geometrically periodic. As the results we derive Darcy's system of filtration for thermofluid, depending on ratios between physical parameters. The proofs are based on Nguetseng's two-scale convergence method of homogenization in periodic structures.

Key words: Anisothermic Stokes and Lamé's equations, two-scale convergence, homogenization of periodic structures.

MOS subject classification: 35M99;76Q05

State University, ul. Pobedi, 85, Belgorod, Russia, email:meirmanov@bsu.edu.ru

Introduction

In the present publication we consider a problem of a joint motion of thermoelastic deformable solid (thermoelastic skeleton), perforated by a system of channels (pores) and incompressible thermofluid occupying a porous space. In dimensionless variables (without primes)

$$\mathbf{x}' = L\mathbf{x}, \quad t' = \tau t, \quad \mathbf{w}' = L\mathbf{w}, \quad \theta' = \vartheta_* \frac{L}{\tau v_*} \theta, \quad \rho'_s = \rho_0 \rho_s, \quad \rho'_f = \rho_0 \rho_f,$$

the differential equations of the problem in a domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for the dimensionless displacement vector $\mathbf{w}$ of the continuum medium and the dimensionless temperature θ , have a form:

$$(1) \quad \alpha_\tau \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial t^2} = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{P},$$

$$(2) \quad \alpha_\tau \bar{c}_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_x (\bar{\alpha}_\kappa \nabla_x \theta) - \bar{\alpha}_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w},$$

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P} = \bar{\chi} \alpha_\mu \mathbb{D} \left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} \right) + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \alpha_\lambda \mathbb{D}(x, \mathbf{w}) - (q + \alpha_{\theta s} (1 - \bar{\chi}) \theta) \mathbb{I},$$

$$(4) \quad q = p + \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\alpha_p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \alpha_{\theta f} \bar{\chi} \theta,$$

$$(5) \quad p + \bar{\chi} \alpha_p \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0.$$

The problem is endowed with initial and boundary conditions

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{w}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \theta|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_0, \quad \theta = \theta_0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S = \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here and further we use notations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) &= (1/2) (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^T), \\ \bar{\rho} &= \bar{\chi} \rho_f + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \rho_s, \quad \bar{c}_p = \bar{\chi} c_{pf} + (1 - \bar{\chi}) c_{ps}, \\ \bar{\alpha}_{\kappa} &= \bar{\chi} \alpha_{\kappa f} + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \alpha_{\kappa s}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{\theta} = \bar{\chi} \alpha_{\theta f} + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \alpha_{\theta s}. \end{aligned}$$

In this model the characteristic function of the porous space $\bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x})$ is a known function.

For more details about Eqs.(1)– (5) and description of dimensionless constants (all these constants are positive) see [1].

We accept the following constraints

Assumption 0.1. *domain $\Omega = (0, 1)^3$ is a periodic repetition of an elementary cell $Y^\varepsilon = \varepsilon Y$, where $Y = (0, 1)^3$ and quantity $1/\varepsilon$ is integer, so that Ω always contains an integer number of elementary cells Y_i^ε . Let Y_s be a "solid part" of Y , and the "liquid part" Y_f – is its open complement. We denote as $\gamma = \partial Y_f \cap \partial Y_s$ and γ is C^1 -surface. A porous space Ω_f^ε is the periodic repetition of the elementary cell εY_f , and solid skeleton Ω_s^ε is the periodic repetition of the elementary cell εY_s . A boundary $\Gamma^\varepsilon = \partial \Omega_s^\varepsilon \cap \partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon$ is the periodic repetition in Ω of the boundary $\varepsilon \gamma$. The "solid skeleton" Ω_s is a connected domain.*

In these assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) &= \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon), \\ \bar{c}_p &= c_p^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) c_{pf} + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) c_{ps}, \\ \bar{\rho} &= \rho^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \rho_f + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) \rho_s, \\ \bar{\alpha}_{\kappa} &= \alpha_{\kappa}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \alpha_{\kappa f} + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) \alpha_{\kappa s}, \\ \bar{\alpha}_{\theta} &= \alpha_{\theta}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \alpha_{\theta f} + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) \alpha_{\theta s}, \end{aligned}$$

Let ε be a characteristic size of pores l divided by the characteristic size L of the entire porous body:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{l}{L}.$$

Suppose that all dimensionless parameters depend on the small parameter ε and there exist limits (finite or infinite)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\mu(\varepsilon) &= \mu_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\lambda(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\tau(\varepsilon) = \tau_0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\eta(\varepsilon) &= \eta_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_p(\varepsilon) = p_*, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\nu(\varepsilon) = \nu_0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_{\kappa f} &= \kappa_{0f}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_{\kappa s}(\varepsilon) = \kappa_{0s}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_{\theta f}(\varepsilon) = \beta_{0f}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_{\theta s}(\varepsilon) &= \beta_{0s}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\alpha_\mu}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

We restrict our consideration by the case when when

$$\mu_0 = 0; \quad \lambda_0 = \eta_0 = \infty; \quad \beta_{0s}, \beta_{0f}, \nu_0, \tau_0 < \infty,$$

$$0 < \mu_1 + \tau_0, p_*, \kappa_{0f}, \kappa_{0s} < \infty.$$

The condition $\lambda_0 = \eta_0 = \infty$ means that the solid skeleton is an absolutely rigid body.

Using Nguetseng's two-scale convergence method [2, 3] we show that the limiting regime as ε tends to zero is described by two types of Darcy's like system of equations of filtration for the velocity of the liquid component, coupled with corresponding heat equation.

Different isothermic models have been considered in [4]–[10]. The first research with the aim of finding limiting regimes in the case when the skeleton was assumed to be an absolutely rigid isothermal body was carried out by E. Sanchez-Palencia and L. Tartar. E. Sanchez-Palencia [5, Sec. 7.2] formally obtained Darcy's law of filtration using the method of two-scale asymptotic expansions, and L. Tartar [5, Appendix] mathematically rigorously justified the homogenization procedure. Using the same method of two-scale expansions J. Keller and R. Burridge [4] derived formally the system of Biot's equations in the case when the parameter α_μ was of order ε^2 , and the rest of the coefficients were fixed independent of ε . Under the same assumptions as in the article [4], the rigorous justification of Biot's model has been given by G. Nguetseng [6] and later by A. Mikelić, R. P. Gilbert, Th. Clopeaut, and J. L. Ferrin in [8, 9, 7]. The most general case has been studied in [10].

§1. Formulation of the main results.

As usual, equations (1)–(2) are understood in the sense of distributions. They involve the equations (1)–(5) in a usual sense in the domains Ω_f^ε and Ω_s^ε and the boundary conditions

$$(8) \quad [\vartheta] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{w}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma^\varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(9) \quad [\mathbb{P}] = 0, \quad [\alpha_\kappa^\varepsilon \nabla_x \theta] = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma^\varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0$$

on the boundary Γ^ε , where

$$[\varphi](\mathbf{x}_0) = \varphi_{(s)}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \varphi_{(f)}(\mathbf{x}_0),$$

$$\varphi_{(s)}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_s^\varepsilon}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varphi_{(f)}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_f^\varepsilon}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}).$$

There are various equivalent in the sense of distributions forms of representation of equations (1)–(2) and boundary conditions (8)–(9). In what follows, it is convenient to write them in the form of the integral equalities.

Definition 1.1. *Functions $(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, \theta^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon)$ are called a generalized solution of the problem (1)–(7), if they satisfy the regularity conditions in the domain $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$*

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}(x, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon), \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon, \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \theta^\varepsilon, \nabla_x \theta^\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega_T)$$

in the domain $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, boundary conditions (7) with functions

$$\mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon, \theta_0^\varepsilon \in L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega)),$$

equations

$$(11) \quad q^\varepsilon = p^\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\alpha_p} \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \alpha_{\theta f} \chi^\varepsilon \theta^\varepsilon,$$

$$(12) \quad p^\varepsilon + \chi^\varepsilon \alpha_p \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon = 0$$

a.e. in Ω_T , integral identity

$$(13) \quad \left. \int_{\Omega_T} \left(\alpha_\tau \rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial t^2} - \chi^\varepsilon \alpha_\mu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial t}) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \{ (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \alpha_\lambda \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) - (q^\varepsilon + \alpha_{\theta s} (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \theta^\varepsilon) \mathbb{I} \} : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \right) d\mathbf{x} dt = 0 \right\}$$

for all smooth vector-functions $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{\partial\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{t=T} = \partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}/\partial t|_{t=T} = 0$ and integral identity

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} \left((\alpha_\tau c_p^\varepsilon \theta^\varepsilon + \alpha_\theta^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} - \alpha_\kappa^\varepsilon \nabla_x \theta^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \xi + \Psi \xi \right) d\mathbf{x} dt = 0$$

for all smooth functions $\xi = \xi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ such that $\xi|_{\partial\Omega} = \xi|_{t=T} = 0$.

In (13) by $A : B$ we denote the convolution (or, equivalently, the inner tensor product) of two second-rank tensors along the both indexes, i.e., $A : B = \operatorname{tr}(B^* \circ A) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} B_{ji}$.

We suppose the next assumption to be held:

Assumption 1.1. Sequences $\{(\sqrt{\alpha_\mu} + \sqrt{\alpha_\nu}) \chi^\varepsilon |\nabla \partial \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon / \partial t|\}$, $\{\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \nabla \partial \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon / \partial t\}$, $\{(\sqrt{\alpha_p} + \sqrt{\alpha_\theta^\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div}_x \partial \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon / \partial t\}$, $\{\sqrt{\alpha_\tau} \partial^2 \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon / \partial t^2\}$, $\{\sqrt{\alpha_\tau} \partial \theta_0^\varepsilon / \partial t\}$, $\{\nabla \theta_0^\varepsilon\}$ are uniformly in ε bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$.

In what follows all parameters may take all permitted values. If, for example, $\tau_0 = 0$, then all terms in final equations containing this parameter disappear.

The following Theorems 1.1–1.2 are the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ on the arbitrary time interval $[0, T]$ there exists a unique generalized solution of the problem (1)–(7) and

$$(15) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \| |\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)| + \sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \chi^\varepsilon |\nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)| + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} |\nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)| \|_{2,\Omega} \leq C_0,$$

$$(16) \quad \|\theta^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \|\nabla_x \theta^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} \leq C_0,$$

$$(17) \quad \|q^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \|p^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\alpha_p} \left\| \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right\|_{2,\Omega_T} \leq C_0$$

where C_0 does not depend on the small parameter ε .

Theorem 1.2. Sequences $\{\chi^\varepsilon \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{q^\varepsilon\}$ converge weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ to $\mathbf{w}^f$, p , and q respectively. The sequence $\{\theta^\varepsilon\}$ converge weakly in $L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ to function θ . Functions $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ admit an extension $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$ from $\Omega_s^\varepsilon \times (0, T)$ into Ω_T such that the sequence $\{\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\}$ converge strongly in $L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ to zero. Weak and strong limits $\mathbf{v} = \partial \mathbf{w}^f / \partial t$, q , p and θ satisfy in the domain Ω_T the state equation

$$(18) \quad q = p + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + m \beta_{0f} \theta,$$

the continuity equation

$$(19) \quad (1/p_*) p + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0,$$

the heat equation

$$(20) \quad \tau_0 \hat{c}_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \frac{\beta_{0f}}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_x (B^\theta \cdot \nabla \vartheta)$$

and Darcy's law in the form

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{v} = - \int_0^t B_1(\mu_1, t - \tau) \cdot \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau$$

in the case of $\tau_0 > 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0$; Darcy's law in the form

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{v} = -B_2(\mu_1) \cdot \nabla_x q$$

in the case of $\tau_0 = 0$ and, finally, Darcy's law in the form

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\tau_0 \rho_f} (m\mathbb{I} - B_3) \cdot \int_0^t \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau$$

in the case of $\mu_1 = 0$. The problem is supplemented by the boundary condition

$$(24) \quad (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad t > 0$$

for the velocity of the liquid component and boundary and initial conditions

$$(25) \quad \tau_0 \theta(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \theta(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \theta_0(\mathbf{x})$$

for the temperature.

In Eq.(20) symmetric strictly positively defined matrix B^θ is given below by formula (53) and in Eqs. (21)–(23) $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit normal vector to S at a point $\mathbf{x} \in S$, and symmetric strictly positively defined matrices $B_1(\mu_1, t)$, $B_2(\mu_1)$, and B_3 are defined in [10].

§2. Preliminaries

2.1. Two-scale convergence. Justification of Theorems 1.1–1.2 relies on systematic use of the method of two-scale convergence, which had been proposed by G. Nguetseng [2] and has been applied recently to a wide range of homogenization problems (see, for example, the survey [3]).

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\} \subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ is said to be two-scale convergent to a limit $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$ if and only if for any 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function $\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ the limiting relation

$$(26) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon) d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_{\Omega_T} \int_Y \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} dt$$

holds.

Existence and main properties of weakly convergent sequences are established by the following fundamental theorem [2, 3]:

Theorem 2.1. (Nguetseng's theorem)

1. Any bounded in $L^2(Q)$ sequence contains a subsequence, two-scale convergent to some limit $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$.

2. Let sequences $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\varepsilon \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon\}$ be uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Then there exist a 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function $\varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and a subsequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ such that $\varphi, \nabla_y \varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$, and φ^ε and $\varepsilon \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converge to φ and $\nabla_y \varphi$, respectively.

3. Let sequences $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon\}$ be bounded in $L^2(Q)$. Then there exist functions $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$ and a subsequence from $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ such that ψ is 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$, $\nabla_y \psi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$, and φ^ε and $\nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converge to φ and $\nabla_x \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \nabla_y \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, respectively.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\sigma \in L^2(Y)$ and $\sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$. Assume that a sequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\} \subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ two-scale converges to $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$. Then the sequence $\sigma^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converges to $\sigma \varphi$.*

2.2. An extension lemma. The typical difficulty in homogenization problems while passing to a limit in Model $(\mathbf{NB})^\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ arises because of the fact that the bounds on the gradient of displacement $\nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ may be distinct in liquid and rigid phases. The classical approach in overcoming this difficulty consists of constructing of extension to the whole Ω of the displacement field defined merely on Ω_s . The following lemma is valid due to the well-known results from [11, 12]. We formulate it in appropriate for us form:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that assumptions of Sec. 1.2 on geometry of periodic structure hold, $\psi^\varepsilon \in W_2^1(\Omega_s^\varepsilon)$ and $\psi^\varepsilon = 0$ on $S_s^\varepsilon = \partial\Omega_s^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega$ in the trace sense. Then there exists a function $\sigma^\varepsilon \in W_2^1(\Omega)$ such that its restriction on the sub-domain Ω_s^ε coincide with ψ^ε , i.e.,*

$$(27) \quad (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))(\sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) - \psi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

and, moreover, the estimate

$$(28) \quad \|\sigma^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_s^\varepsilon}, \quad \|\nabla_x \sigma^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C\|\nabla_x \psi^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_s^\varepsilon}$$

hold true, where the constant C depends only on geometry Y and does not depend on ε .

2.3. Friedrichs–Poincaré’s inequality in periodic structure. The following lemma was proved by L. Tartar in [5, Appendix]. It specifies Friedrichs–Poincaré’s inequality for ε -periodic structure.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that assumptions on the geometry of Ω_f^ε hold true. Then for any function $\varphi \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(\Omega_f^\varepsilon)$ the inequality*

$$(29) \quad \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} |\varphi|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} |\nabla_x \varphi|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

holds true with some constant C , independent of ε .

2.4. Some notation. Further we denote

$$1) \langle \Phi \rangle_Y = \int_Y \Phi dy, \quad \langle \Phi \rangle_{Y_f} = \int_{Y_f} \Phi dy, \quad \langle \Phi \rangle_{Y_s} = \int_{Y_s} \Phi dy.$$

2) If $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are two vectors then the matrix $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ is defined by the formula

$$(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})$$

for any vector $\mathbf{c}$.

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Under restriction $\tau_0 > 0$ estimates (15)-(16) follow from estimates

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max_{0 < t < T} (\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \|(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega} + \sqrt{\alpha_\tau} \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t)\|_{2,\Omega} + \\ & \sqrt{\alpha_p} \|\chi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega} + \sqrt{\alpha_\tau} \|\theta^\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\nabla_x \theta^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \\ & \sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \|\chi^\varepsilon \nabla_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t}\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \sqrt{\alpha_\nu} \|\chi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t}\|_{2,\Omega_T} \leq C_0, \end{aligned}$$

where C_0 is independent of ε . These estimates we obtain if we multiply equation for $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ by $\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t$, equation for θ^ε multiply by θ^ε , integrate by parts and sum the

result. The same estimates (30) guaranties the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution for the problem (1)–(7).

Estimates (17) for pressures follows from Eqs.(11)–(12) and estimates (30).

Estimation of $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ and θ^ε in the case $\tau_0 = 0$ is not simple, and we outline it in more detail.

First of all we use estimates (30) in the form

$$(31) \quad \sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \|\chi^\varepsilon \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega} + \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \|(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C_0.$$

Next, on the strength of Lemma 2.1, we construct extensions $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$ and $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon$ of the functions $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ and $\mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon$ from Ω_s^ε into Ω_f^ε , such that $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ and $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon$ in Ω_s^ε , $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon$ uniformly with respect to ε bounded in $W_2^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon)\|_{2,\Omega} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda}} \|(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \nabla_x(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon)\|_{2,\Omega}.$$

After that we estimate $\|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega}$ with the help of Friedrichs–Poincaré's inequality in periodic structure (lemma 2.2) for the difference $(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon)$ and estimates (31):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} &\leq \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} + C\varepsilon \|\chi^\varepsilon \nabla_x(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon)\|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} + C\varepsilon \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} + C(\varepsilon \alpha_\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|\chi^\varepsilon \sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq \\ &\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda}} \|\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_s^\varepsilon} + C(\varepsilon \alpha_\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|\sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_f^\varepsilon} \leq C_0. \end{aligned}$$

The norm $\|\theta^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega}$ we estimate with the help of the usual Poincaré's inequality for the difference $(\theta^\varepsilon - \theta_0^\varepsilon)$ and estimate (30).

The rest of the proof is the same as for the case $\tau_0 > 0$.

§4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

4.1. Weak and two-scale limits of sequences of displacement, temperatures and pressures. On the strength of Theorem 1.1, the sequences $\{\theta^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\nabla \theta^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ are uniformly in ε bounded in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Hence there exist a subsequence of small parameters $\{\varepsilon > 0\}$ and functions θ , p , q , π and $\mathbf{w}$ such that

$$\theta^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \theta, \quad \nabla \theta^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla \theta, \quad p^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup p, \quad q^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup q, \quad \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}$$

weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Due to Lemma 2.1 there is a function $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in L^\infty((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ such that $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ in $\Omega_s \times (0, T)$, the family $\{\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\}$ is uniformly in ε bounded in $L^\infty((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ and

$$\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Moreover,

$$(32) \quad \chi^\varepsilon \alpha_\mu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0,$$

strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Relabeling if necessary, we assume that the sequences converge themselves.

On the strength of Nguetseng's theorem, there exist 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ functions $\Theta(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, and $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, such that the sequences $\{\nabla \theta^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ two-scale converge to $\nabla_x \theta + \nabla_y \Theta(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, and $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ respectively.

Note that the sequence $\{\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ weakly converges to $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}$.

The same arguments we apply for the functions $\mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon$ and θ_0^ε :

$$\mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0$$

weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$,

$$\theta_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow \theta_0$$

weakly in $L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and the sequence $\{\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon\}$ weakly converges to $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_0$.

4.2. Micro- and macroscopic equations.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ weak and two-scale limits of the sequences $\{\theta^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\nabla_x \vartheta^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\nabla_x \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\}$ satisfy the relations*

$$(33) \quad P = (1/m)\chi p, \quad Q = (1/m)\chi q = (1/m)\chi(p + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t) + \beta_{0f} \chi \theta;$$

$$(34) \quad (1 - \chi) \mathbf{W} = 0;$$

$$(35) \quad q = p + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + m \beta_{0f} \theta;$$

$$(36) \quad (1/p_*) p + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0;$$

$$(37) \quad ((\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S;$$

$$(38) \quad \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{W} = 0.$$

Proof. The weak and two-scale limiting passage in Eq.(11) yield that Eq.(35) and

$$(39) \quad Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) = P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \partial P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) / \partial t + \Upsilon(\mathbf{y}) \theta(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f.$$

In order to prove equation (33), into Eq.(13) insert a test function $\psi^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$, where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is an arbitrary 1-periodic and finite on Y_f function in $\mathbf{y}$. Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we get

$$(40) \quad \nabla_y Q = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f.$$

Next, fulfilling the two-scale limiting passage in the equality

$$(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) p^\varepsilon = 0$$

we arrive at

$$(1 - \chi) P = 0$$

which along with Eqs.(39)–(40) justifies equation (33).

Eq.(36) and boundary condition (37) are derived quite similarly if we represent Eq.(12) in the form

$$(41) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_p} p^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon) = (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon,$$

multiply by an arbitrary function $\psi^\varepsilon = \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, integrate, and then pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Using now in (41) test functions in the form $\psi^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$ we obtain (38).

In order to prove Eq.(34) it is sufficient to consider the two-scale limiting relation in

$$(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) (\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *For all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega_T$ the microscopic equation*

$$(42) \quad \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{y}} \{K(\mathbf{y})(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\theta + \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\Theta)\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y$$

holds true. Here $K = \kappa_{0f}\chi + \kappa_{0s}(1 - \chi)$.

Proof. First of all, using continuity equation (12) we rewrite the heat equation in the form

$$(43) \quad \alpha_{\tau} c_p^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \theta^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} (\alpha_{\kappa}^{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \theta^{\varepsilon}) - \alpha_{\theta s} (1 - \chi^{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon}) + \frac{\alpha_{\theta s}}{\alpha_p} \frac{\partial p^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}.$$

Substituting now a test function of the form $\psi^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$, where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is an arbitrary 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function vanishing on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, into corresponding integral identity, and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we arrive at the desired microscopic relation on the cell Y . $\square$

In the same way, using a test function independent of the fast variable $\mathbf{y}/\varepsilon$, we get from Eq.(43)

Lemma 4.3. *For all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega_T$ the macroscopic equation*

$$(44) \quad \tau_0 \hat{c}_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \frac{\beta_{0f}}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \{(\hat{\kappa}_0 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \vartheta + \langle K \nabla \Theta \rangle_Y)\}$$

hold true. Here $\hat{c}_p = m c_{pf} + (1 - m) c_{ps}$, $\hat{\kappa}_0 = \langle K \rangle_Y$.

Now we pass to the microscopic equations for the velocities in the liquid.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{V} = \chi \partial \mathbf{W} / \partial t$. Then*

$$(45) \quad \tau_0 \rho_f \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} = \mu_1 \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} R - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} q, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f, \quad t > 0;$$

$$(46) \quad \mathbf{V} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma; \quad \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f$$

in the case $\mu_1 > 0$, and

$$(47) \quad \tau_0 \rho_f \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} R - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} q, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f, \quad t > 0;$$

$$(48) \quad \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma; \quad \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f$$

in the case $\mu_1 = 0$.

In Eq.(48) $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal to γ .

Proof. Differential equations (45) and (47) follow as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ from integral equality (13) with the test function $\psi = \varphi(x\varepsilon^{-1}) \cdot h(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where φ is solenoidal and finite in Y_f .

Boundary condition in (46) is the consequence of the two-scale convergence of $\{\alpha_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon}\}$ to the function $\mu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$. On the strength of this convergence, the function $\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is L^2 -integrable in Y . The boundary condition (48) follows from Eq.(38). $\square$

4.3. Homogenized equations.

Lemma 4.5. *Weak and strong limits q and θ satisfy the initial-boundary value problem*

$$(49) \quad \tau_0 \hat{c}_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \frac{\beta_{0f}}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_x(B^\theta \cdot \nabla \vartheta), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, t > 0;$$

$$(50) \quad \tau_0 \theta(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \quad \theta(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \theta_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, t > 0,$$

where a symmetric strictly positively defined matrix B^θ is defined by formula (53).

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, 3$ we consider the periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ model problems

$$(51) \quad \operatorname{div}_y \{K(\mathbf{y})(\nabla_y \Theta_i + \mathbf{e}_i)\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y,$$

where $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ are the standard Cartesian basis vectors, and put

$$(52) \quad \Theta = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\Theta_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \nabla_x \theta.$$

Then Θ solves the problem (51) and

$$(53) \quad B^\theta = \hat{\kappa}_0 \mathbb{I} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle K \nabla_y \Theta_i^s \rangle_Y \otimes \mathbf{e}_i.$$

All properties of the matrix B^θ are well known (see [5], [12]). $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *The strong and weak limits θ , $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{V} \rangle_{Y_f}$, p and q satisfy in Ω_T equation (36), Darcy's law in the form*

$$(54) \quad \mathbf{v} = - \int_0^t B_1(\mu_1, t - \tau) \cdot \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau$$

in the case of $\tau_0 > 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, Darcy's law in the form

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{v} = -B_2(\mu_1) \cdot \nabla_x q$$

in the case of $\tau_0 = 0$ and, finally, Darcy's law in the form

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\tau_0 \rho_f} (m \mathbb{I} - B_3) \cdot \int_0^t \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau$$

in the case of $\mu_1 = 0$. The problem is supplemented by the boundary condition

$$(57) \quad (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad t > 0,$$

In Eqs. (54)–(57) $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit normal vector to S at a point $\mathbf{x} \in S$, and symmetric strictly positively defined matrices $B_1(\mu_1, t)$, $B_2(\mu_1)$, and B_3 are given in [10].

The proof of these statements repeats the proof of Lemma 5.8 in [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] Meirmanov, A.M., Sazhenkov, S.A.: Generalized solutions to the linearized equations of thermoelastic solid and viscous thermofluid. <http://www.arXiv.org/math.AP/0611350> v1 12 Nov (2006)
- [2] Ngutseng, G.: A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **20**, 608–623 (1989)
- [3] Lukkassen, D., Ngutseng, G., Wall, P.: Two-scale convergence. Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math. **2**:1, 35–86 (2002)
- [4] Burridge, R., Keller, J.B.: Poroelasticity equations derived from microstructure, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., V. 70, N4, (1981), P. 1140–1146.

- [5] *Sanchez-Palencia, E.*: Non-Homogeneous Media and Vibration Theory. Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Berlin. Vol.129,(1980)
- [6] *Nguetseng, G.*: Asymptotic analysis for a stiff variational problem arising in mechanics. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21**, 1394-1414(1990)
- [7] *Gilbert, R.P., Mikelić, A.*: Homogenizing the acoustic properties of the seabed: Part I, Non-linear Analysis. **40**,185–212(2000)
- [8] *Clopeau, Th., Ferrin, J.L., Gilbert, R.P., Mikelić, A.*: Homogenizing the acoustic properties of the seabed: Part II, Mathematical and Computer Modelling. **33**,821–841 2001)
- [9] *Ferrin, J.L., Mikelić, A.*: Homogenizing the acoustic properties of a porous matrix containing an incompressible inviscid fluids. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **26**,831–859(2003)
- [10] *A.Meirmanov, A.*: Nguetseng's two-scale convergence Method for filtration and seismic acoustic problems in elastic porous media.[http:// www.arXiv.org/math.AP/0611330](http://www.arXiv.org/math.AP/0611330) v1 11 Nov (2006)
- [11] *Acerbi, E., Chiado Piat, V., Dal Maso, G., Percivale D.*: An extension theorem from connected sets and homogenization in general periodic domains, Nonlinear Anal. **18**,481–496(1992)
- [12] *Jikov, V. V., Kozlov, S.M., and Oleinik, O.A.*: Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals, (1994), Springer-Verlag, New York(1994)